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Introduction

The pricing of financial instruments with two or more state variables has been intensively studied.

The added state variables besides the stock price can be volatility or interest rate.

This paper studies bivariate lattices with a stock price component and an interest rate component.

It can be used to price interest rate-sensitive securities such as callable bonds and convertible bonds (CBs).
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This paper focuses on lognormal interest rate models such as the Black-Derman-Toy (BDT), Black-Karasinski, and Dothan models.

In particular, this paper adopts the popular BDT model to explain the main ideas of our bivariate lattice.

Our techniques work for all short rate models.
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Using the BDT model, Hung and Wang (2002) propose a bivariate binomial lattice to price CBs.

Chambers and Lu (2007) extend it by including correlation between stock price and interest rate.

The lattices’ sizes are both cubic in the total number of time steps.

Unfortunately, this paper shows that both works share a serious flaw: invalid transition probabilities.

Their lattices cannot grow beyond a certain time without encountering invalid transition probabilities.
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Main Results

- This paper proposes the first bivariate lattice that guarantees valid transition probabilities even when interest rates can grow without bounds.

- Our bivariate lattice has two components: stock price and interest rate.
  - The interest rate component: a binomial interest rate lattice for the BDT model.
  - The stock price component: a trinomial lattice with mean tracking.

- We then combine both lattices in such a way that
  1. The bivariate lattice is free of invalid transition probabilities;
  2. The bivariate lattice grows superpolynomially if the interest rate model allows rates to grow superpolynomially (such as the BDT model);
  3. The above bound is optimal.
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Main Results (concluded)

Two findings in the paper contradict the popular beliefs.

1. The resulting bivariate lattice by the popular method of combining two individual lattices is usually invalid.

2. The bivariate lattice for stock price and interest rate grows *superpolynomially* if the interest rate model allows rates to grow superpolynomially such as lognormal models.
The stock price follows a geometric Brownian motion with a constant volatility $\sigma$ and a constant riskless rate $r$:

$$\frac{dS}{S} = rdt + \sigma dz,$$

where $dz$ denotes a standard Brownian motion.

In the BDT model, the short rate $r$ follows the stochastic process,

$$d \ln r = \theta(t)dt + \sigma_r(t)dz,$$

where

- $\theta(t)$ is a function of time that makes the model fit the market term structure;
- $\sigma_r(t)$ is a function of time and denotes the instantaneous standard deviation of the short rate;
- $dz$ is a standard Brownian motion.
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The size of one time step is $\Delta t = T/n$.

- $u, d, P_u, P_d$:
  - Match the mean and variance of the stock return asymptotically.
  - $ud = 1$.
  - $P_u + P_d = 1$.

A solution is:

$$u = e^{\sigma \sqrt{\Delta t}}, P_u = \frac{e^{r\Delta t} - d}{u - d},$$
$$d = e^{-\sigma \sqrt{\Delta t}}, P_d = \frac{e^{r\Delta t} - u}{d - u}.$$
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- A binomial lattice consistent with the term structures.
- The probability for each branch is \(1/2\).
- There are \(j\) possible rates (which are applicable to period \(j\)) at time step \(j - 1\):
  \[
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Assume no correlation between stock price and interest rate.

The no-arbitrage requirements $0 \leq P_u, P_d \leq 1$ are equivalent to

$$d < e^{r\Delta t} < u. \quad (1)$$

It is known that $E[S_{t+\Delta t}/S_t] = e^{r\Delta t}$.

Inequalities (1) say the top and bottom branches of a node at time $t$ must bracket the mean stock price of the next time step, at time $t + \Delta t$.

Note that $u = e^{\sigma \sqrt{\Delta t}}$ and $d = e^{-\sigma \sqrt{\Delta t}}$ are independent of $r$, when the maximum $r$ grows without bounds such as the BDT model.

Inequalities (1) will break eventually.
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Trinomial Structure

The branching probabilities for the node $X$

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta &\equiv \hat{\mu} - \mu, \\
\alpha &\equiv \hat{\mu} + 2\sigma \sqrt{\Delta t} - \mu = \beta + 2\sigma \sqrt{\Delta t}, \\
\gamma &\equiv \hat{\mu} - 2\sigma \sqrt{\Delta t} - \mu = \beta - 2\sigma \sqrt{\Delta t}, \\
\hat{\mu} &\equiv \ln \left( \frac{s(B)}{s(X)} \right).
\end{align*}
\]
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Trinomial Structure (concluded)

The branching probabilities for the node $X$

\[ P_u \alpha + P_m \beta + P_d \gamma = 0, \]
\[ P_u (\alpha)^2 + P_m (\beta)^2 + P_d (\gamma)^2 = \text{Var}, \]
\[ P_u + P_m + P_d = 1. \]
Let $d(\ell)$ denote the number of stock prices spanned by the highest stock price and the lowest one at time step $\ell$.

- For instance, on the right, $d(0) = 1$, $d(1) = 3$, and $d(2) = 6$. 
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A 2-Period Mean-Tracking Trinomial Lattice

- Let \( d(\ell) \) denote the number of stock prices spanned by the highest stock price and the lowest one at time step \( \ell \).
- For instance, on the right, \( d(0) = 1 \), \( d(1) = 3 \), and \( d(2) = 6 \).
Each node in a bivariate lattice corresponds to a bivariate state with a stock price and an interest rate.

There are $2 \times 3 = 6$ branches per node.

Node $X$ at time step $t$ has 6 branches, to nodes $A$, $B$, $C$, $D$, $E$, and $F$ at time step $t + 1$. 
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The Size of Our Bivariate Lattice

- The previous figure shows that $d(0) = 1$, $d(1) = 3$.
- Inductively,

$$d(j) \leq d(1) + 2(j - 1) + n^{0.5} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \frac{\mu_k}{2\sigma} = 1 + 2j + n^{0.5} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \frac{\mu_k}{2\sigma}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

- The total node count of the bivariate lattice is

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} (j + 1)d(j).$$
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The Size of Our Bivariate Lattice (concluded)

**Theorem 1**

Our bivariate lattice grows (super)polynomially in size if the interest rate model allows rates to grow (super)polynomially in magnitude.
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Theorem 2

Under the Black-Scholes model, any valid constant-degree bivariate lattice for stock price and interest rate under the BDT model must have size $\Omega(n^{1.5} d^{\sqrt{n}})$, a superpolynomial, for some constant $d > 1$.

Corollary 1
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